## Research

**BioMed** Central

# Heel lance in newborn during breastfeeding: an evaluation of analgesic effect of this procedure

Elena Uga<sup>\*1</sup>, Manuela Candriella<sup>1</sup>, Antonella Perino<sup>1</sup>, Viviana Alloni<sup>1</sup>, Giuseppina Angilella<sup>1</sup>, Michela Trada<sup>1</sup>, Anna Maria Ziliotto<sup>1</sup>, Maura Barbara Rossi<sup>1</sup>, Danila Tozzini<sup>1</sup>, Clelia Tripaldi<sup>2</sup>, Michela Vaglio<sup>1</sup>, Luigina Grossi<sup>1</sup>, Michaela Allen<sup>3</sup> and Sandro Provera<sup>1</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>Department of Paediatrics, S. Andrea Hospital-ASL VC, Vercelli, Italy, <sup>2</sup>Department of Paediatrics, Hospital of Putignano-ASL BA, Bari, Italy and <sup>3</sup>General Practitioner, ASL Pavia, Pavia, Italy

Email: Elena Uga\* - elena.uga990@gmail.com; Manuela Candriella - docmanu@tiscali.it; Antonella Perino - antonellaperino@alice.it; Viviana Alloni - alloniviviana@gmail.com; Giuseppina Angilella - giusy.angilella@libero.it; Michela Trada - doc.miki@libero.it; Anna Maria Ziliotto - pediatria.vc@asl11.piemonte.it; Maura Barbara Rossi - maurabarbara@virgilio.it; Danila Tozzini - pediatria.vc@asl11.piemonte.it; Clelia Tripaldi - cleliatripaldi@tiscali.it; Michela Vaglio - pediatria.vc@asl11.piemonte.it; Alloni - cleliatripaldi@tiscali.it; Michela Vaglio - pediatria.vc@asl11.piemonte.it; Clelia Tripaldi - cleliatripaldi@tiscali.it; Michela Vaglio - pediatria.vc@asl11.piemonte.it; Alloni - cleliatripaldi@tiscali.it; Michela Vaglio - pediatria.vc@asl11.piemonte.it; Clelia Tripaldi - cleliatripaldi@tiscali.it; Michela Vaglio - pediatria.vc@asl11.piemonte.it; Michela Vaglio - pediatria.vc@asl11.piemonte.it; Clelia Tripaldi - cleliatripaldi@tiscali.it; Michela Vaglio - pediatria.vc@asl11.piemonte.it; Michela Vaglio - pediatri

Luigina Grossi - lui.grossi@libero.it; Michaela Allen - michaelaallen@gmail.com; Sandro Provera - pediatria.vc@asl11.piemonte.it \* Corresponding author

Published: 18 November 2008

Italian Journal of Pediatrics 2008, 34:3 doi:10.1186/1824-7288-34-3

This article is available from: http://www.ijponline.net/content/34/1/3

© 2008 Uga et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 4 October 2008 Accepted: 18 November 2008

## Abstract

**Objectives:** The reduction of pain due to routine invasive procedures (capillary heel stick blood sampling for neonatal metabolic screening) in the newborn is an important objective for the so-called "Hospital with no pain". Practices such as skin to skin contact, or breastfeeding, in healthy newborn, may represent an alternative to the use of analgesic drugs. The aim of our work is to evaluate the analgesic effect of breastfeeding during heel puncture in full term healthy newborn.

**Methods:** We studied 200 healthy full term newborns (100 cases and 100 controls), proposing the puncture to mothers during breastfeeding, and explaining to them all the advantages of this practice. Pain assessment was evaluated by DAN scale (Douleur Aigue Nouveau ne scale).

**Results:** The difference in score of pain according to the DAN scale was significant in the two groups of patients (p = 0.000); the medium score was 5.15 for controls and 2.65 for cases (newborns sampled during breastfeeding).

**Conclusion:** Our results confirmed the evidence of analgesic effect of breastfeeding during heel puncture. This procedure could easily be adopted routinely in maternity wards.

#### Introduction

Scientific studies show that even very premature newborns may experience sensation of distress which could unfavourably influence many clinical and behavioural parameters of their present and future [1]. Pain control in newborns is so primary importance, also stressed by the American Academy of Paediatrics [2]. The purpose of our study was comparing the analgesic effects of sucking own mother milk, versus alternative chances like caressing and/or pacifier, during routine invasive procedures in fullterm newborns. The most painful routine invasive procedures in full-term newborns include venous blood sample and capillary heel stick blood sampling [3]. The analgesic effect of oral glucose 24% solution [4], pacifiers [5] and skin-to-skin contact [6] have already been demonstrated. The use of sucrose and/or pacifier for analgesia may interfere with a correct beginning of breastfeeding [7], so it may be an interesting alternative to test the analgesic effect of breastfeeding during painful procedures. In a recent review by Shah PS et al [8] breastfeeding is associated with changes in heart rate, duration of cry, percentage of crying time and a decrease of measured pain. Breastfeeding instead, does not seem to be favourable, if compared with higher glucose concentrations, with regards for crying duration, PIPP score and DAN score [9-11]. This suggests that neonates undergoing painful procedures may be breastfed or given expressed breast milk to obtain analgesic effect. This special power of breast-sucking may be linked to relational factors (skin-to-skin contact, nearness to mother, entertainment) [12,13] and to specific components of human milk like sugar [14] and triptophane [15] a melatonine precursor that enhances in neonates the production of beta endorphins [16], or the endogenous opioids like galattorphins [17]. This practice may be useful for driving on breastfeeding by frequent sucking and using mother's breast for comfort.

## **Methods**

We enlisted 200 full term healthy neonates (100 cases and 100 controls). We suggested to all mothers of completely or partial breastfed neonates the execution of metabolic screening from heel puncture during breast sucking, explaining to mothers the advantages of this practice.

The magnitude of pain in neonates was measured by DAN scale (Douleur Aigue Nouveau-nè scale – Figure 1), reckoning suffering in full-term healthy neonates by observing facial changes, limb movements and vocal expression [18]. This evaluation regarded both newborns undergoing capillary heel stick for screening while breastfed and control ones, i.e. children refusing breast during puncture, or formula fed ones, or babies who's mother had refused the procedure. In control cases, analgesia was provided by caressing and/or pacifier.

Every child was taken to his own mother and the puncture was performed after minimum two minutes of effective sucking. Capillary puncture was made on the postero-lateral area of the heel, using sterile click prick lancet (the kind of lancets we used were AMES MINILET LANCETS by Bayer). Every heel puncture was performed by dedicated nurses of Neonatology Division. Every nurse was trained about enlistment of neonates, about information of mothers, and especially about evaluation of pain according the standards of Dan Scale and attended a course of pain evaluation of newborn. For every enroled newborn, was filled a form including his/her name, date of birth,

Neonate: Name	Surname	
Date of birth:	Gestational age:	Apgar Score:
Breastfeeding during d	istressing procedure: 🗆	
Care and/or pacifier du	ring distressing procedure:	П

	Score
Facial expressions:	
Calm	0
Snivels and alternates gentle eye opening and closing	1
Intensity of eye squeeze, brow bulge, nasolabial furrow:	
Mild, intermittent with return to calm (present during <1/3 of observation periods)	2
Moderate (present during 1/3 to 2/3 of observation periods)	3
Very pronounced, continuous (present during >2/3 of observation periods)	4
Limbs movements	
Calm or gentle movements	0
Intensity of pedalling, toes spread, legs tensed and pulled up, agitation of arms, withdrawal reaction:	
Mild, intermittent with return to calm (present during <1/3 of observation periods)	1
Moderate (present during 1/3 to 2/3 of observation periods)	2
Very pronounced, continuous (present during >2/3 of observation periods)	3
Vocal expression	
No complaints	0
Moans briefly (for intubated child, looks anxious or uneasy)	1
Intermittent crying (for intubated child, expression of intermittent crying)	2
Longlasting crying, continuous howl (for intubated child, expression of continuous crying)	3
Total score	

## Figure I DAN scale.

gestational age, Apgar score and way of punture (while breastfeeding or pacifier) (Figure 1).

A score from 0 (no pain) to 10 (highest pain) was attributed to each child, according to the intensity of pain [3,19]. After discharge, mothers were called to verify the outcome in breastfeeding at one month of life in the two groups. Scores obtained in the two groups were statistically analysed by  $\chi$ 2 test. Dates confirming homogeneity of groups were statistically compared with t-Student test. We used test for relating two proportions in feed evaluation of one month aged children.

We did not collect differences between caressing and pacifier in the control group.

TADIE T. DAN SCALE LOLAI SCOLE	Table	I:	DAN	scale	total	score
--------------------------------	-------	----	-----	-------	-------	-------

	Total	0	I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
cases	100	20	13	20	21	9	8	I	2	2	3	I
controls	100	0	4	I	16	23	17	13	14	3	6	3



Figure 2 Graph comparing DAN scale total scores for cases and controls.

Statistics data give signs of homogeneity of champions, in fact there are not elements influencing mothers in the choice of way of puncture, except for formula-fed neonates.

#### Results

#### Description of the studied population

The case group included 52 female neonates and 48 males tested with heel puncture while breastfed. The control group included 41 female and 59 male neonates. In the case group, 67 were born by vaginal delivery, 28 by caesarean section and 5 with use of vacuum extractor. In the control group, 59 were born by vaginal delivery and 41 by caesarean section. The mean gestational age in the case group was 39.42 weeks (DS1.27 and CI95 = 38.16–40.69), in controls one was 39.35 weeks (DS 1.31 and CI95 = 38.04–40.67). The mean first and fifth minute

Apgar score in case group was 8.78 (DS 0.69 and CI 95 = 8.09-9.47) and 9.75 (DS 0.52 and CI 95 = 9.23-10); in the control group they were 8.76 (DS 0.85 and CI 95 = 7.90-9.61) and 9.69 (DS 0.71 and CI 95 = 8.98-10).

The mean birth weight in the first group was 3335.2 g (DS 434.82 and CI 95 = 2900.38 - 3770.02), in the second one 3333.45 g (DS 443.89 and CI 95 = 2889.55-3777.34). In the first group, at discharge 93 neonates were being breastfed and 7 were receiving breast milk and formula; at 72 hours after-discharge check-up, only one child receiving mixed feeding began to receive only formula. In the control group at discharge 90 neonates were fed by breast and 4 neonates were fed with human milk and formula. At the age of one month, in the examined group 65 neonates were exclusively breast fed, 14 were mainly breastfed, 13 partially breastfed, 8 were exclusively fed with formula. In controls group instead, at one month 63 neonates were exclusively breastfed, 13 were mainly breastfed, 7 were partially breastfed, 17 were fully formula fed. There is no evident statistical significant difference between the two groups regarding this last specific parameter, even if in control group, a larger number of neonates left own mother's milk. Rooming-in during hospital stay, was practised by 47 pairs of mother-child in the first group, and by 37 pairs in the second one. The mother's age was concerned, the mean age in the cases group was 32.18 years (DS 5.37 and CI 95 = 26.81-37.55). For half (50%) of the women it was their first pregnancy. Eleven percent of women had previous abortions and 5% voluntary interruption of pregnancy. Between pluriparous mothers, 78% had previously breastfed. In the control



Figure 3 Facial expressions.







group, the mean age of mothers was 31.36 years (DS 4.93 and CI95 = 26.43–36.29); 43% of women were primiparous, 19% had previous abortions and 4% had voluntary pregnancy interruption. In pluriparous women, 78.95% had previously breastfed.

## Score for pain perception in neonates (DAN scale)

The mean DAN score in the case group (neonates with puncture during breastfeeding) was 2.65 (DS 2.31 and CI

95 = 0.34 - 4.96), for control group 5.15 (DS 2.07 and CI95 = 3.08-7.22). In the case group, 20 neonates obtained score 0, while no neonates in the control group got this score (Table 1 and Figure 2). From statistical analysis a significant difference resulted between the score obtained in the two groups (p = 0.000), even when the single parameters of the DAN scale were considered independently: face expression (p = 0.000) (Figure 3), limb

movements (p = 0.000) (Figure 4), vocal expression (p = 0.000) (Figure 5).

## Discussion

Our results strengthen the already well-known analgesic effect of breastfeeding. This analgesic effect may be successfully exploited for minor distressing procedures in full term healthy neonates. The choice of this easy and effective method, is useful in reducing all external interferences with a beginning of breastfeeding, while other analgesic systems such as pacifiers or sucrose might disrupt a good start at breastfeeding. Moreover, stressing the curative effects of human milk, may be an important confidence boost for mothers, hopefully rendering breastfeeding easier to pursue (regarding this point, we need a longer follow-up). In our study, doing a heel lance without sucking, does not seem to influence the kind of feeding at one month of age. In our next collection of data, we would include a further group of newborns - the ones pacified with sucrose.

In our opinion, this analgesic system may easily become a routine way of performing heel lance in maternity wards. This procedure may be suggested to available mothers of newborns who are sucking effectively, and possibly also extended to other painful minor procedures like intramuscular injection or venous puncture. Further studies might consider this method in older children, for instance during vaccination.

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### **Authors' contributions**

EU and SP conceived of the study and participated in its design and coordination. AP, VA, GA, MT, AMZ, MBR, DZ, CT and MA participated in the collection of data. MV and LG carried out the analyses. MC participated in the design of the study and performed the statistical analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### References

- Franck LS, Greenberg CS, Stevens B: Pain assessment in infants and children. Pediatr Clin North Am 2000, 47:487-512.
- American Academy of Pediatrics. Committee on Fetus and Newborn. Committee on Drugs. Section on Anesthesiology. Section on Surgery. Canadian Paediatric Society. Fetus and Newborn Committee: Prevention and management of pain and stress in the neonate. *Pediatrics* 2000, 105:454-61.
- 3. Carbajal R, Veerapen S, Couderc S, Jugie M, Ville Y: Analgesic effect of breast feeding in term neonates: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2003, **326**:13-15.
- Stevens B, Yamada J, Ohlsson A: Sucrose for analgesia in newborn infants undergoing painful procedures. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2004:CD001069.
- Curtis SJ, Jou H, Ali S, Vandermeer B, Klassen T: A randomized controlled trial of sucrose and/or pacifier as analgesia for infants receiving venipuncture in a pediatric emergency department. BMC Pediatr 7:27. 2007 Jul 18

- 6. Gray L, Watt L, Blass EM: Skin-to-skin contact is analgesic in healthy newborns. *Pediatrics* 2000, 105:e14.
- Howard CR, Howard FM, Lanphear B, Eberly S, deBlieck EA, Oakes D, Lawrence RA: Randomized clinical trial of pacifier use and bottle-feeding or cupfeeding and their effect on breastfeeding. Pediatrics 2003, 111(3):511-8.
- Shah PS, Aliwalas L, Shah V: Breastfeeding or breast milk for procedural pain in neonates (Review). Issue 2 The Cochrane Library; 2007.
- Gray L, Miller LW, Philipp BL, Blass EM: Breastfeeding is analgesic in healthy newborns. *Pediatrics* 2002, 109:590-593.
  Phillips RM, Chantry CJ, Gallagher MP: Analgesic effects of breast-
- Phillips RM, Chantry CJ, Gallagher MP: Analgesic effects of breastfeeding or pacifier use with maternal holding in term infants. Ambulatory Pediatrics 2005, 5:359-364.
- 11. Potter B, Rindfleisch K: Breastfeeding reduces pain in neonates. J Fam Pract 2003, **52(5):**349-352.
- Blass EM, Shide DJ: Mother as a shield: differential effects of contact and nursing on pain responsivity in infant rats – evidence for nonopioid mediation. Behav Neurosci 1995, 109:342-53.
- 13. Gunnar M: The effects of a pacifying stimulus on behavioural and adrenocortical responses to circumcision in the newborn. J Am Acad Child Psychiatry 1984, 23(1):34-8.
- 14. Blass EM: Milk-induced hypoalgesia in human newborns. Pediatrics 1997, 99:825-9.
- 15. Heine WE: **The significance of tryptophan in infant nutrition.** Adv Exp Med Biol 1999, **467:**705-10.
- Barrett T, Kent S, Voudoris N: Does melatonin modulate betaendorphin, corticosterone, and pain threshold? Life Sci 2000, 66(6):467-76.
- Zanardo V, Nicolussi S, Carlo G, Marzari F, Faggian D, Favaro F, Plebani M: Beta endorphin concentrations in human milk. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2001, 33(2):160-4.
- Franceschini R, Venturini PL, Cataldi A, Barreca T, Ragni N, Rolandi E: Plasma beta-endorphin concentrations during suckling in lactating women. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1989, 96(6):711-3.
- 19. Guideline statement: management of procedure-related pain in neonates. J Paediatr Child Health 2006, 42:S31-9.

